Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Journal of Alloys and Compounds

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jallcom

Synthesis and performance of high tap density LiFePO₄/C cathode materials doped with copper ions

Zhao-Rong Chang^{a,*}, Hao-Jie Lv^a, Hongwei Tang^a, Xiao-Zi Yuan^b, Haijiang Wang^b

^a College of Chemistry and Environmental Science, Henan Normal University, Jianshe Road No. 46, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, PR China ^b Institute for Fuel Cell Innovation, National Research Council of Canada, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1W5

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 February 2010 Received in revised form 24 March 2010 Accepted 15 April 2010 Available online 24 April 2010

Keywords: Lithium ion battery Cathode material LiF $e_{1-x}Cu_xPO_4$ High tap density Electrochemical performance

ABSTRACT

Stoichiometric Cu-doped lithium ion phosphate $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ (x=0, 0.01, 0.015, 0.02, 0.025) cathode materials with a high tap density were synthesized by a solid state high temperature reaction in an inert atmosphere using $\text{Cu}(\text{Ac})_2$ as a dopant and FePO₄ as a precursor. The synthesized $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ powders were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and electrochemical performance testing. The results indicate that the doped composite cathode materials are well crystallized with a typical homogeneous olivine-type structure. Materials with this olivine-type structure normally have a high crystallinity and high tap density. The tap density of $\text{LiFe}_{0.98}\text{Cu}_{0.02}\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ powders reached 1.98 g cm⁻³. Charge–discharge test showed that the cathode materials possessed the excellent charge/discharge capacities, about 150 mAh g⁻¹ and 297.0 mAh cm⁻³ at a rate of 0.1 C and more than 127.3 mAh g⁻¹ and 252.1 mAh cm⁻³ at a rate of 2 C.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In recent years, olivine-structured LiFePO₄ has attracted much attention as one of the most promising replacements of LiCoO₂ for lithium-ion batteries. Compared to commercial LiCoO₂, LiNiO₂, LiMn₂O₄, and their derivatives, LiFePO₄ cathode materials stand out due to several advantages, such as lower cost, excellent heat stability, lower toxicity, and fewer safety issues [1–3]. However, two main obstacles are preventing the commercialization of LiFePO₄. One is the poor electronic conductivity, which leads to an initial capacity loss and a poor rate capability of the material [4,5]. The other is the low tap density (1.0–1.3 g cm⁻³), which results in a low volumetric specific capacity of the material [6].

Recently, a great deal of effort has been made to improve the electron conduction between particles of the material and the proton conduction of the internal crystal by doping carbon, metallic nano-particles and metallic ions [7–11]. Mi et al. [12] enhanced the discharge capacity of the material, LiFePO₄/(Ag+C), by adding Ag nano-particles while coating with carbon. Chiang et al. [13] obtained conductive materials of a p-type semiconductor by ion doping, and the conductivity reached $10^{-2} \, \mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$. Molenda et al. [14] reported that adding Mn in the synthesized LiFePO₄ material could greatly improve its conductivity. Wang et al. [15] used sucrose as a carbon source, and the product showed a capacity of

 174 mAh g^{-1} at 0.1 C rate and around 117 mAh g^{-1} at 2 C rate with the capacity fading less than 10% after 50 cycles. Wu et al. [16] prepared and characterized Ti⁴⁺-doped LiFePO₄ cathode materials, and found that LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO₄ manifested the most promising cycling performance when it was cycled with C/10, C/5, C/2, 1 C, 2 C, and 3 C rates. Furthermore, LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO₄ showed an initial discharge capacity of 135 mAh g⁻¹. These research results have demonstrated that a small amount of doping can, to some extent, improve the electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄. However despite the fact that tap density makes the most significant difference for increasing the specific energy density, an increase in the tap density of LiFePO₄ has rarely been reported. Based on our previous publications [17–19], LiFe_{1-x}Cu_xPO₄/C composite cathode material with a high tap density and conductivity for lithium-ion batteries was synthesized by using high tap density FePO₄ as a precursor, while adding Cu(Ac)₂ with different concentrations, and sintering under high temperature. The precursor was prepared by a ferric compound and glucose as a C source.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagent and instrument

Reagents, such as H₃PO₄, FeNO₃·9H₂O, Li₂CO₃, glucose and ammonia, used in this work are all of analytical grade. Other reagents include: polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, SCM Industrial Chemical Co., Ltd), n-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, Jing-Yu Fine Chemical Plant, Tianjin, China), and acetylene black (Jiachen Industrial Chemical, Shanghai, China).

Phase analysis and cell parameter determination of all samples were performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a D8 X diffractometer (Germany, Bruker) with Cu

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 373 3326544; fax: +86 373 3326544. *E-mail address:* czr_56@163.com (Z.-R. Chang).

^{0925-8388/\$ –} see front matter $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2010.04.112

Kα radiation. The scan data were collected in the 2θ range of 10–80°. The step size was 0.026° with a counting time of 3 s. A scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to examine the microstructures of the cathode material (SEM–XL30). The cells were tested on a Land CT2001A battery tester (Wuhan Jinnuo Electronics Co. Ltd., China) at 25°C.

2.2. Preparation of precursor FePO₄

Stoichiometric amounts of H₃PO₄ and FeNO₃·9H₂O were dissolved in distilled water. To obtain the FePO₄ colloid, the dissolved aqueous solution was precipitated by adding an NH₃ solution of 5 mol dm⁻³, under an argon atmosphere, with continuous stirring at 50 °C and a pH of 1.0–2.0. The co-precipitation mixture was then pressure-filtrated at 20 MPa, dried at 80 °C for 6 h, washed, dried again at 80 °C for 6 h, and ground, yielding the final precursor.

2.3. Synthesis of cathode materials $LiFe_{1-x}Cu_xPO_4/C$

The composite material LiFe_{1-x}Cu_xPO₄/C was synthesized as follows. The obtained precursor powder FePO₄, Li₂CO₃, glucose and Cu(Ac)₂ with 1:0.5:0.1:0-0.025 molar ratio were mixed and ground. The mixture was initially heated under a 5% H₂-95% Ar₂ atmosphere, followed by sintering in a pipe furnace at 500 °C for 6 h, then at 750 °C for 18 h. After being heated, the products were cooled down to ambient temperature. Therefore then, the carbon coated and metallic ion doped final products, LiFe_{1-x}Cu_xPO₄/C (x = 0, 0.01, 0.015, 0.02, 0.025), were obtained and marked as S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5.

The JZ-1 tap density tester (China) was used to determine the tap density of the sample. The procedure was as follows: (1) weighed the dried sample; (2) poured the weighed sample into the calibrated measuring cylinder; (3) installed the cylinder into the tester and operated it until the volume ceased to decrease; (4) read the volume. Then the tap density could be obtained.

2.4. Electrochemical performance test

Electrochemical characterization was performed on electrodes that were prepared as follows: a mixture of $LiFe_{1-x}Cu_xPO_4/C$, super P conductive carbon blacks, and a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, Fluka), in a given ratio, was ground for 1 h in an agate mortar. Then N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, Fluka) was added to the mixture by continuous grinding for another 1 h. The obtained slurry was spread on the aluminum current collector with a thickness of 12 µm, dried at 120 °C for 4 h under a vacuum (-0.1 MPa) atmosphere, and then pressed to form the cathode electrode. The electrode composition was 80 wt% $LiFe_{1-x}Cu_xPO_4/C$, 10 wt% acetylene black, and 10 wt% PVDF binder with an electrode area of 2.0 cm² and an active material loading of ca. 1-2 mg cm⁻². The coin cells (CR2016) were assembled with Li in excess as the counter electrode. Li as the reference electrode, and Celgard 2400 as the separator in an Ar-filled glove box. One mole per liter of LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate (EC), diethyl carbonate (DEC), and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1:1, v/v) was used as the electrolyte solution. The cells were tested on a Land CT2001A battery tester (Wuhan linnuo Electronics Co. Ltd., China) at 25°C. The charge–discharge experiment data were collected at current rates of 0.1–2 C (1 C is equivalent to 170 mAh g^{-1}) with a voltage range of 2.5-4.2 V versus Li/Li⁺.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. XRD analysis of the FePO₄ and LiFe_{1-x}Cu_xPO₄/C powders

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of the synthesized FePO₄ powder with a high tap density of 1.56 g cm^{-3} . This figure reveals that the FePO₄ has the typical structure of a hexagonal α -NaFeO₂ type with a space group of $R\bar{3}m$. All the XRD peaks of the prepared precursor fit the standard FePO₄ spectrum (PDF#29-0715) well without impurities being detected. The lattice parameters are a = 0.5039 nm, b = 0.5039 nm, c = 1.1269 nm, and $V = 0.2861 \text{ nm}^3$. This structure is conducive to the diffusion of lithium ions into the internal parts of the grains during the process of carbon thermo-reduction, forming an orthogonal olivine structure of LiFePO₄ with lithium ions along the c-axis in a straight line.

Fig. 2 shows the XRD patterns of the composite final product samples, and Table 1 shows their cell parameters. From Fig. 2 we can clearly see that no parasitic peaks are observable. All the diffraction peaks can be indexed from the orthorhombic structure with the space group of *Pnmb*, which is in agreement with a wellcrystallized single phase LiFePO₄ according to PDF #40-1499. As can be seen in Table 1, cell parameters are close between doped and undoped samples. However, with increasing Cu content, a and



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of the precursor, $FePO_4$, synthesized by a two-step drying method.



Fig. 2. XRD patterns of samples S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5.

c increase and b decreases. The ratio of the $(1 \ 1 \ 1)$ peak and $(3 \ 1 \ 1)$ peak (I_{111}/I_{311}) also rises as the doped Cu content increases. It reaches the maximum (0.9885), which is much higher than that of undoped LiFePO₄/C, when the doped Cu content is 2%. This is consistent with the results from the literature [20]. Table 1 also shows that tap density of samples increases with the value of I_{111}/I_{311} , which is obviously related to the high crystallinity of the material. As a result, the value of I_{111}/I_{311} is closely related to the electrochemical performance of Cu-doped LiFePO₄, and the higher the I_{111}/I_{311} value, the better the performance of the material. For Cu-doped LiFePO₄, Cu²⁺ ions might replace Li⁺ ions in the 4a position of the octahedral LiFePO₄ crystal lattice. A slight change of cell parameters, which forms crystal defects, improves the electrical conductivity of the material due to the differences between the radius of Li⁺ and Cu²⁺.

Table 1	
Lattice parameters and tap density for different Cu-doped LiFePO $_4$ samp	les.

Sample	a/nm	b/nm	c/nm	V/nm ³	I_{111}/I_{311}	Tap density/g cm ⁻³
S1	1.0336	0.6029	0.4702	0.2930	0.8531	1.94
S2	1.0320	0.6027	0.4672	0.2906	0.9639	1.95
S3	1.0332	0.6024	0.4692	0.2919	0.9884	1.98
S4	1.0333	0.6023	0.4692	0.2920	0.9885	1.98
S5	1.0333	0.6022	0.4694	0.2921	0.9873	1.97



Fig. 3. SEM images of samples. (a)LiFePO₄/C; (b)LiFe_{0.98}Cu_{0.02}PO₄/C.

3.2. SEM analysis of LiFePO₄/C samples

Fig. 3 shows the SEM images of the LiFePO₄/C sample, Fig. 3(a), and the LiFe_{0.98}Cu_{0.02}PO₄/C sample, Fig. 3(b). The particle size of Cu-doped LiFePO₄ is approximately ranging from 100 to 300 nm, which is smaller than that of Cu-undoped LiFePO₄ with the particle sizes ranging significantly, from 200 to 700 nm. This fact may indicate that Cu-doped LiFePO₄ plays an important role in the particle growth of LiFePO₄ particles during the synthesis process. The smaller size of the particle can expand contact between the electrolyte solution and sample surface, shortening the transmission channel in the solid-phase, and improving the insertion and extraction of Li-ions.

3.3. Electrochemical characterization

Fig. 4 shows the discharge capacity of each Cu-doped LiFePO₄/C sample at a rate of 0.1 C. Compared with undoped LiFePO₄/C material, the Cu-doped sample has a higher discharge capacity since the discharge capacity increases with increasing Cu²⁺ content. The initial discharge capacity of the undoped LiFePO₄/C sample is only 122.5 mAh g⁻¹, and the discharge voltage plateau is 3.4 V. When x = 0.02, the discharge capacity of sample S4 (LiFe_{0.98}Cu_{0.02}PO₄/C) reaches its maximum (150.0 mAh g⁻¹); when x = 0.025, it decreases to 138.3 mAh g⁻¹. This may be attributed to Cu²⁺ replacement of Li⁺ in the 4a position of the octahedral LiFePO₄ crystal lattice. As a result, the slight change of cell parameters, which forms crystal



Fig. 4. Initial discharge curves for samples S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 at 0.1 C.



Fig. 5. Discharge curves of S4 at different rates.

defects, improves the electrical conductivity of the material due to the differences between the radii of Li⁺ and Cu²⁺. However, if the Cu content exceeds a certain level, the quantity of Li⁺ will decrease in the process of charge and discharge, which lowers the electrochemical performance of the material.

To investigate the optimum working voltage and power output of the LiFe_{0.98}Cu_{0.02}PO₄/C composite powders, the lithium cells were discharged at different rates. Fig. 5 shows the discharge curves of the S4 sample (LiFe_{0.98}Cu_{0.02}PO₄/C) tested at different rates (0.2 C, 0.5 C, 1 C, 2 C). As can be seen, discharge plateau and discharge capacity decrease with increasing discharge rate. When the discharge rates increase from 0.2 and 0.5 C to 1 and 2 C, the discharge plateau decreases from 3.4 to 3.3 V as a result of the high discharge current density. At a discharge rate of 2 C, the discharge plateau reaches the minimum with a discharge capacity of 127.3 mAh g⁻¹. As the composite material possesses a high tap density (1.98 g cm⁻³), a high volumetric capacity (252.1 mAh cm⁻³) of the material is still achieved, which can greatly increase the energy density of batteries.

4. Conclusions

High tap density $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ powders were synthesized using $\text{Cu}(\text{Ac})_2$ as a dopant and high tap density self-prepared FePO₄ as a precursor. The results indicate that Cu-doped LiFePO₄ particles can improve the discharge capacity and rate capability. At a discharge rate of 0.1 C, the initial discharge capacity of the high tap

density LiFe_{0.98}Cu_{0.02}PO₄/C is as high as 150.0 mAh g⁻¹ and the specific volumetric capacity reaches 297.0 mAh cm⁻³. At a discharge rate of 2 C, the initial discharge capacity is still over 127.3 mAh g⁻¹ with a specific volumetric capacity of 252.1 mAh cm⁻³. We conclude that Cu-doped LiFePO₄ with a Cu content of 0.02 is a promising cathode material for lithium ion batteries.

Acknowledgements

This work is financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under approval No. 20671031, and by Henan Provincial Department of Science and Technology Key Research Project under approval No.080102270013.

References

- A.K. Padhi, K.S. Nanjundaswamy, J.B. Goodenough, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) A1188–1194.
- [2] A. Yamada, S.C. Chung, J. Electrochem. Soc. 148 (2001) A960-967.
- [3] N. Terada, T. Yanagi, S. Arai, M. Yoshikawa, N. Nakajima, A. Yanai, N. Arai, J. Power Sources 100 (2001) 80–92.
- [4] D. Morgan, A. Van der Ven, G. Ceder, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 7 (2004) A30–32.

- [5] M.S. Islam, D.J. Driscoll, C.A.J. Fisher, P.R. Slater, Chem. Mater. 17 (2005) 5085–5092.
- [6] S.W. Oh, H.J. Bang, S.T. Myung, Y.C. Bae, S.M. Lee, Y.K. Sun, J. Electrochem. Soc. 155 (2008) A414–420.
- [7] S. Franger, C. Benoit, C. Boarbon, F.L. Cars, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 67 (2006) 1338–1342.
- [8] M.S. Song, Y.M. Kang, J.H. Kim, H.S. Kim, D.Y. Kim, H.S. Kwon, J.Y. Lee, J. Power Sources 166 (2007) 260–265.
- [9] C.W. Kim, J.S. Park, K.S. Lee, J. Power Sources 163 (2006) 144–150.
- [10] S.Y. Chung, J.T. Bloking, Y.M. Chiang, Nat. Mater. 1 (2002) 123-128.
- [11] M. Rong, W.H. Ke, J. Electrochem. Soc. 155 (2008) A729–732.
- [12] C.H. Mi, Y.X. Cao, X.G. Zhang, C.B. Zhao, H.L. Li, Powder Technol. 181 (2008) 301–306.
- [13] W.G. Mcdonough, J.M. Antonucci, J.M. He, Y. Shimada, M.Y.M. Chiang, G.E. Schumacher, C.R. Schultheisz, Biomaterials 23 (2002) 3603–3608.
- [14] J. Molenda, W. Ojczyk, J. Marzec, J. Power Sources 174 (2007) 689-694.
- [15] K. Wang, R. Cai, T. Yuan, X. Yu, R. Ran, Z.P. Shao, Electrochim. Acta 54 (2009) 2861–2868.
- [16] S.H. Wu, M.S. Chen, C.J. Chien, Y.P. Fu, J. Power Sources 189 (2009) 440-444.
- [17] Z.R. Chang, H.J. Lv, H.W. Tang, H.J. Li, X.Z. Yuan, H.J. Wang, Electrochim. Acta 54 (2009) 4595–4599.
- [18] Z.R. Chang, Z.J. Chen, F. Wu, H.W. Tang, Z.H. Zhu, X.Z. Yuan, H.J. Wang, Electrochim. Acta 53 (2008) 5927-5933.
- [19] Z.R. Chang, Z.J. Chen, F. Wu, H.W. Tang, X.Z. Yuan, H.J. Wang, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 11 (2008) A229–232.
- [20] J.F. Ni, H.H. Zhou, J.T. Chen, J.Y. Su, Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin. 20 (2004) 582– 586.